DESIGN DESIGNATION

State Route Number:	Route Name: KNIK ARM CROSSING	
Project Limits: <u>Burma Road in N</u>	MatSu Borough to 3 rd Avenue in Anchorag	e
State Project Number: <u>56047</u>	Federal Aid Number: ACSTP-0001(277)
Project Description: Provide a number of the MatSu Borough and the Munici	new bridge crossing of <i>Knik Arm</i> with road ipality of Anchorage	way connections in
Design Functional Classification:	Rural Arterial ☑ Urban Arterial ☑ Minor Collector ☑ Local □	Major Collector
New Construction - Reconstruction	n ☑ Rehabilitation (3R) □	Other:
Project Design Life (years): 5 □	10 □ 20 ☑ 25 □ Other:	

SEGMENT	CONSTRUCTION YEAR 2010	MID-LIFE YEAR	DESIGN YEAR 2030
Point MacKenzie Highway, Burma Road to Port of Anchorage ADT:	4,000		22,800
Knik Arm Crossing, Port of Anchorage to Government Hill ADT:	5,100		46,700
Knik Arm Crossing, Government Hill to 3 rd Avenue (Phase II) ADT:	4,300		39,900
Rural Collector Road (Holstein-Heifer Avenue, Alsop Road, Lu Young Lane) ADT:	900		5,200
Point MacKenzie Highway Rural Two-Way Frontage Road ADT:			5,700
Rural Highway DHV (13%):	520		3,000
Urban Highway DHV (13%):			6,100
Rural Collector Road DHV (15%):			780
Rural Frontage Road DHV (15%):	150		860
Rural Highway Directional Distribution (60/40):	310/210		1,800/1,200
Urban Highway Directional Distribution (60/40):			3,700/2,400
Rural Collector Road Directional Distribution (60/40):	80/60		470/310
Rural Frontage Road Directional Distribution (60/40):	90/60		520/340
Commercial Trucks on Rural Highway (7%):	280		1,600
Commercial Trucks on Urban Highway (12%):	610		5,600
Commercial Trucks on Rural Collector (4%):	40		230
Pedestrians (Number/Day):	<100		
Bicyclists (Number/Day):	<200		
Design Vehicles for Turning: WB-120			
	HS25 ☑ Othe	r: HL-93	

PROPOSED:

22 MARch 2011 APPROVED:

Loran E. Frazier, PE

Senior Transportation Engineer

Michael R. Tooley

Chief Engineer

HDR Alaska Knik Arm Bridge and Toll Authority C:\Documents and Settings\mtooley\My Documents\Projects\Knik Arm\kac design designation.3-22-11.docx

PROJECT DESIGN CRITERIA

Project: Knik Arm Crossing, Burma				
New Construction / Reconstruction ☑			Other	
Design Functional Classification:	Rural Principal Arterial (PGDHS* p8)			
Terrain:	Rolling			
Level-of-Service:	"B" (PGDHS p504)			
Design Year:				
Present Year ADT:	0			
Design Year ADT:	22,800			
DHV (13%):	3,000			
Directional Split (D, 60/40%):	1,800/1,200			
Trucks (PTT, 7%):	1,600			
Design Vehicle:				
Design Speed:	70 MPH (PGDHS p503)			
Minimum Radius of Curvature:	2040-feet (PGDHS Exhibit 3-26)			
Maximum Allowable Grade:	4% (PGDHS Exhibit 8-1)			
Minimum Allowable Grade:	0.0% (PCM Figure 1120-1)			
Stopping Sight Distance:	730-feet (PGDHS Exhibit 3-1)			
Passing Sight Distance:	2480-feet (PGDHS Exhibit 3-7)			
 Minimum K-value for Vertical Curves:	Sag (Exh 3-75) Crest (Exh 3-73 and 3		n 3-73 and 3-72)	
William TV value for Vertical Guives.	181 SSD		2197 PSD	247 SSD
Number of Roadways:	Divided 4-Lane			
Median Width (EOTW to EOTW):	92-feet (PGDHS p509)			
Width of Traveled Way:	12-foot lanes (PGDHS p504-505)			
Width of Shoulders:	Outside Inside		Inside	
Width of Shoulders.	10-feet 4-feet		4-feet	
Surface Treatment:	Traveled Way	Sho	oulders	Slopes
	Paved	P	aved	Seeded
Clear Zone:	: 30-feet (PCM Table 1130-2)			
Side Slone Ratios (w/in Clear Zone):			ackslopes	
Side Slope Ratios (w/in Clear Zone):	6:1 (PCM Table 1130-2) 3:1 (PCM Table 1130-2)		\ Table 1130-2)	
Degree of Access Control:	Controlled-access (PGDHS p11 and 92)			
Illumination:				
Curb Usage and Type:	Intersection delineation			
	Three section defined	Joint-use Pathway		
Pedestrian Provisions:				
Pedestrian Provisions: Bicycle Provisions: Miscellaneous Criteria:		hase II	(PCM Table	1210-1)

* AASHTO Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, 2004

PROPOSED:

Michael R. Tooley Date Senior Transportation Engineer

HDR Alaska

Pele 27 Mapert, 2011 APPROVED: APPROVED:

Loran E. Frazier, PE

Date

Chief Engineer

Knik Arm Bridge and Toll Authority

PROJECT DESIGN CRITERIA

Project: KNIK ARM CROSSING, Port of A					
New Construction / Reconstruction ☑	Rehabilitation (Other:		
Design Functional Classification:	Urban Principal Arte	rial (PGD	HS* p10)		
Terrain:	Rolling				
Level-of-Service:	"C" desirable, "D" mir	nimum (Po	GDHS p504)		
Design Year:	2030				
Present Year ADT:	0				
Design Year ADT:	46,700				
DHV (13%):	6,100				
Directional Split (D, 60/40%):	3,700/2,400				
Trucks (PTT, 12%):	5,600			-	
Design Vehicle:	WB-120 Tractor Dou	ıble Trai	ler		
Design Speed:	60 MPH des, 50 MPH min (PGDHS p503)				
Minimum Radius of Curvature:	1330-feet des, 833-feet min (PGDHS Exhibit 3-26)				
Maximum Allowable Grade:	4% des, 5% min (PGDHS Exhibit 8-1)				
Minimum Allowable Grade:	0.3% (Drainage for Curb and Gutter, PCM Figure 1120-1)				
Stopping Sight Distance:	570-feet des, 425-feet min (PGDHS Exhibit 3-1)				
Passing Sight Distance:	2135-feet des, 1835-feet min (PGDHS Exhibit 3-7)				
	Sag (Exh 3-75	j)	Crest (Exh 3	3-73 and 3-72)	
Minimum K-value for Vertical Curves:	136 SSD desira	ble	1628 PSD	151 SSD	
	96 SSD minimu	ım	1203 PSD	84 SSD	
Number of Roadways:	Divided 4-Lane				
Median Width (EOTW to EOTW):	10-feet (PGDHS p513)				
Width of Traveled Way:	12-foot lanes (PGDH	S p504-	505)		
Width of Shoulders:	Outside		In	Inside	
Width of Shoulders.	10-feet		4-feet		
Surface Treatment:	Traveled Way	Sho	oulders	Slopes	
Surface Treatment:	Paved	P	aved	Seeded	
Clear Zone:	30-feet des, 22-fee	t min (PC	CM Table 1130	-2)	
Side Slope Ratios (w/in Clear Zone):	Foreslopes Backslopes		kslopes		
Side Slope Ratios (Will Clear Zolle).	6:1 (PCM Table 11:	130-2) 3:1 (PCM Table 1130-2)			
Degree of Access Control:	Controlled-access (P	GDHS p	11 and 92)		
Illumination:	Ramp and intersection safety and bridge navigation lighting				
Curb Usage and Type:	Intersection delined	ition			
Pedestrian Provisions:	Joint-use Pathway				
Bicycle Provisions:	12-foot Pathway in Phase II (PCM Table 1210-1)				
Miscellaneous Criteria:					

* AASHTO Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, 2004

PROPOSED:

Michael R. Tooley

Dellex 22 Marcut, 2011
APPROVED:

Loran E. Frazier, PE

Senior Transportation Engineer

Chief Engineer

HDR Alaska

Knik Arm Bridge and Toll Authority

PROJECT DESIGN CRITERIA

Project: Knik Arm Crossing					
New Construction / Reconstruction ☑	Rehabilitation (3)		Other		
Design Functional Classification:	Minor Collector Road (and Fr	ontage Road	s) (P(GDHS* p8)
Terrain:	Rolling				
Level-of-Service:	"C" (PGDHS p420)				
Design Year:	2030				
Present Year ADT:					
Design Year ADT:	5,700				
DHV (15%):	860				
Directional Split (D, 60/40%):	520/340				
Trucks (PTT, 4%):	230				
Design Vehicle:	WB-67 Tractor-Traile	r			
Design Speed:					
Minimum Radius of Curvature:	833-feet (PGDHS Exhibit 3-26)				
Maximum Allowable Grade:	7% (PGDHS Exhibit 6-4)				
Minimum Allowable Grade:	0.0% (PCM Figure 1120-1)				
Stopping Sight Distance:					
Passing Sight Distance:	1835-feet (PGDHS Exhibit 3-7)				
Minimum K-value for Vertical Curves:	Sag (Exh 3-75)		Crest (Ext	n 3-7	3 and 3-72)
Willimit K-value for Vertical Curves.	96 SSD		1203 PSD		84 SSD
Number of Roadways:	Two-way 2-Lane (Collector and Frontage Roads)			s)	
Median Width (EOTW to EOTW):					
Width of Traveled Way:					
Width of Shoulders:					
Surface Treatment:	Traveled Way	Sł	noulders		Slopes
Surface Treatment.	Paved		Paved		Seeded
Clear Zone:	26-feet (PCM Table 11	130-2)			
Side Slane Batios (willin Clear Zone):	Foreslopes Backslo		opes		
Side Slope Ratios (w/in Clear Zone):	4:1 (PCM Table 1130	1 (PCM Table 1130-2) 3:1 (PCM Table 1130-2		le 1130-2)	
Degree of Access Control:					
Illumination:					
Curb Usage and Type:					
Pedestrian Provisions:					
Bicycle Provisions:					
Miscellaneous Criteria:					

* AASHTO Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, 2004

PROPOSED:

Michael R. Tooley

220 APPROVED: MA

Loran E. Frazier, PE

Senior Transportation Engineer

Chief Engineer

HDR Alaska

Knik Arm Bridge and Toll Authority